

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/546,399	HULL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marjorie A. Moran	1631

All Participants:

Status of Application: Nonfinal

(1) Marjorie A. Moran.

(3) _____.

(2) G. Discher.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 November 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Double patenting

Claims discussed:

1-2

Prior art documents discussed:

6,332,138

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner stated that a terminal disclaimer over 6,332,138 had not been received, although the response filed 9/13/06 indicated that a TD for two patents had been filed. In a return message, Mr. Discher indicated that copies of all papers originally filed on 9/13/06 would again be filed...